

Methodolog

Resu

Acoustic Source Localization with a VTOL sUAV Deployable Module

Kory Olney

Department of Mechanical Engineering University of South Florida

October 18, 2018



Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusion
Overview			
 Introduction Background Objectives Contributions Methodology Mathematica Hardware Localization sUAS Design 	; I Models Module		
 3 Results Experiment Performance Error Analysis 4 Conclusion Summary Future Work Acknowledgm 	Metrics 5		



- The recent increase in availability of low cost small unmanned aircraft system (sUAS) has led to new opportunities
- Sensors are becoming smaller and less expensive while providing more computational power
- Many open source contributions in software and hardware originating from the hobbyist radio control (RC) market



- Useful in applications where humans cannot safely go
- Use cases for sUAS
 - Industrial inspection
 - Shipping and delivery
 - Agriculture
 - Cinematography
 - Military
 - And many more...





Methodolog

Background III - Source Localization

- Applied throughout the acoustic and electromagnetic spectrum
- Beamforming
- Wifi, GPS
- Radio communications
- Seismology
- Acoustic range
 - Speaker localization
 - Critical infrastructure security
 - Military and law enforcement



- Construct a low-cost prototype sUAS with a payload capacity of at least 4 lb
- Construct a microphone array that can addresses constraints of the sUAS problem
- Develop a data acquisition unit with DSP methods to perform direction of arrival (DOA) estimation in real time



- Developed code for 8 channel synchronous sampling
- Designed and fabricated prototype direction finding module
- Designed and fabricated a sUAS that can host the module
- Applied DSP methods to the acoustic noise and direction of arrival problems





Methodology

Mathematical Models

Signal Model

$x_1(t) = s_1(t) + n_1(t)$

where x₁(t), s₁(t), and n₁(t) are real, stationary random processes and s₁(t) is assumed to be uncorrelated with n₁(t)

•
$$E\{n_1(t)\} = 0$$



Methodology

Results

Array Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA) Model

$$x_{1}(t) = s_{1}(t) + n_{1}(t)$$
$$x_{2}(t) = s_{1}(t - D_{1}) + n_{2}(t)$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x_{m}(t) = s_{1}(t - D_{m}) + n_{m}(t)$$

 D₁ through D_m are the time of arrival delays between channels which are to be estimated





Methodology

Result

Cross Correlation - Continuous

$$R_{xy}(au) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^*(t) y(t+ au) dt$$

$$R_{xy}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(t) y(t+\tau) dt$$

$$R_{x_1x_2}(\tau) = R_{s_1s_1}(\tau + D) + R_{n_1n_2}(\tau)$$

- * denotes complex conjugate
- T represents the observation interval





Methodology

Result

Cross Correlation - Discrete

$$R_{xy}[D] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^*[n]y[n+D]$$

$$\hat{R}_{xy}(r\Delta t) = \frac{1}{N-r} \sum_{n=1}^{N-r} x_n y_{n+r}$$

- $r\Delta t \approx \tau$
- *r* = 0, 1, 2, ..., *m* with *m* < *N*
- r represents the index of the sequence



Methodology

Cross Power Spectrum

$$S_{xy}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{xy}(t) e^{-j2\pi ft} dt$$

Fourier transform of the cross correlation



Methodology

Generalized Cross Correlation





Methodology

Generalized Cross Correlation - PHAT

$$\psi_p(f) = \frac{1}{|S_{x_1x_2}(f)|} = H_1(f)H_2^*(f)$$

$$\hat{R}_{y_1y_2}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_p(f) \hat{S}_{x_1x_2}(f) e^{j2\pi ft} df$$

- Phase transform (PHAT)
- Weighting function applied for whitening the signals
- Normalizes cross power spectrum
- Sharpens cross correlation for better resolution in time domain
- y₁ and y₂ are signals x₁ and x₂ respectively, after filtering



Methodology

Discrete Implementation

$$h_j = \sum_{b=0}^{N-1} y_{1b} y_{2j+b}$$

for
$$j = -(N-1), -(N-2), ..., -1, 0, 1, ..., (M-2), (M-1)$$

 $\hat{R}_{y_1y_2}(i) = h_{i-(N-1)}$
 $\hat{\tau}_{y_1y_2} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{i} \hat{R}_{y_1y_2}(i)$

- **•** N is the number of elements in sequence y_1
- M is the number of elements in sequence y₂
- $\hat{\tau}$ is the estimate of time delay





Methodology

Mic Position and DOA Vector

$$\mathbf{P_i} = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} k_x \\ k_y \\ k_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta\cos\phi \\ \sin\theta\sin\phi \\ \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

- where P_i is the position of the *ith* microphone in the array with respect to an arbitrarily defined reference
- **K** is the DOA vector





Methodology

TDOA Between Two Channels

$$au_{i,j} = rac{1}{c} [(x_j - x_i) sin heta cos \phi + (y_j - y_i) sin heta sin\phi + (z_j - z_i) cos heta]$$
 $au_{i,j} = rac{1}{c} [\mathbf{P_j} - \mathbf{P_i}] \mathbf{K}$

- Given by projecting the position difference vector onto the DOA
- Assumes wavefront propagates as a plane



Methodology

Results

Reference Free Position Difference Matrix

$$\mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{P}_1, ..., \mathbf{P}_N - \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_3 - \mathbf{P}_2, ..., \mathbf{P}_N - \mathbf{P}_{N-1}]^T \in \mathbf{R}^{rac{N(N-1)}{2} imes 3}$$

- Calculating the positioning difference between each combination of microphones in the array
- *N* is the number of sensors in the array



Methodology

Resul

Reference Free TDOA Vector

- $\vec{\tau} = [\tau_{2,1}, ..., \tau_{N,1}, \tau_{3,2}, ..., \tau_{N,2}, ..., \tau_{N,N-1}]^T \in \mathbf{R}^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2} \times 1}$
- TDOA between all combinations of microphones in the array



TDOA Equation set

$$\hat{ au} = rac{1}{\hat{c}} \mathbf{S} \hat{\mathbf{K}}$$

- \hat{c} is the estimate of the speed of sound
- Leads to a linear least squares solution

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}} = -\hat{c}(\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{\tau}$$



Methodology

Results

Elevation and Azimuth Estimates

$$\hat{\phi} = tan^{-1}(rac{\hat{k}_y}{\hat{k}_x})$$

$$\hat{ heta} = tan^{-1}(rac{\sqrt{\hat{k}_x^2 + \hat{k}_y^2}}{\hat{k}_z})$$

Elevation





Hardware Overview

- Localization module
 - Microphones
 - Array Design
 - TI ADS1278
 - NI myRIO
 - Interface Board
- sUAS Design
 - Frame
 - Powertrain
 - Autopilot
 - Tx Rx



Methodology

Hardware - Localization Module - Microphones



Figure: Adafruit Silicon MEMS Microphone Breakout-SPW2430

- Low cost, lightweight, MEMS breakout board
- Amplifies signals to line level, with max 1V peak to peak
- Flat frequency response from 100Hz to 10kHz
- 3 wire configuration: 3.3V supply, ground, DC output
- 0.67V DC bias



Methodology

Hardware - Localization Module - Array Design



Figure: 8 Channel Microphone Array Design

- 8 analog microphones in spherical arrangement
- 3D printed and carbon fiber frame
- Radius of 11.25 in
- Single 24 pin female ribbon cable



Methodology

Hardware - Localization Module - TI ADS1278



Figure: ADS1278 EVM-PDK ADC

- Synchronous sampling of 8 analog channels
- SPI output
- ΔΣ configuration Oversampling
- Onboard or supplied digital clock
- Up to 144 ksps/channel
- Built in 1st-order analog lowpass filters



Methodology

Hardware - Localization Module - NI myRIO



Figure: National Instruments myRIO Embedded System

- Reconfigurable Input
 Output RIO
- Xilinx FPGA and CPU
- 40 MHz onboard clock that can simulate higher rates
- Built-in accelerometer
- 34 pin MXP connections
- 6V to 16V power supply
- Simple functionality with LabVIEW



Methodology

Hardware - Localization Module - Interface Board



Figure: Top of Interface Board with 34 and 24 pin Connectors

- Interface between ADS1278, myRIO, and microphones
- Custom designed printed circuit board (PCB)
- Power: 3.3V, 5V, from myRIO and 1.8V regulator onboard





Methodology

Hardware - sUAS Design - sUAV Frame



Figure: sUAV Hexacopter Frame

- Turnigy Talon from Hobby King
- Carbon fiber frame except for assembly hardware and motor mounts
- Boom radius of 12.5 in



Methodology

Hardware - sUAS Design - Powertrain



- Brushless DC motor
- 2050 RPM/V 30340
 Max RPM at 14.8V
- Motor torque 0.0035 ft-lbs/A
- 2.66A at 14.8V
- Theoretical max thrust with 8 in propellers 3.5lb

Figure: KDE 2315XF Motor Kory Olney



Methodology

Hardware - sUAS Design - Autopilot



Figure: Pixhawk 2.1

- Open source hardware design
- Designed specifically to function with Ardupilot open source software
- Large user base for support and debugging
- Redundant IMUs
- Intel Edison port



Methodology

Hardware - sUAS Design - Tx Rx



Figure: Taranis QX7 Transmitter

- 16 Channels
- Haptic vibration feedback system
- Runs on OpenTX
- Reciever signal strength indicator
- Real time flight data logging to microSD



Methodology

Hardware - sUAS Design - Tx Rx



Figure: FrSKY X8R 2.4GHz Receiver

- 8 channel telemetry receiver with smart bus
- Full duplex
- Daisy chainable for 16 channels





Figure: General Data Flow Through System



Methodology

Field Programmable Gate Array



Figure: FPGA Top Level

- State machine
- SCTL at 48MHz
- 24MHz modulator clock to ADC
- 8 channels of 24 bits each at 93.75 kHz
- U32 to I32
- Target Scope FIFO to DMA FIFO



CPU I



Figure: RT Top Level



- Producer-consumer loop
- Monitors single channel for impulse detection
- Trigger sends array to local FIFO for DOA estimate
- User defined bandstop filter and trigger threshold



- Recordings of 9 different calibers at Pasco County Range
- Microphones were approximately 29 feet from the source
- **5** shots of each caliber were recorded with only ambient noise
- Shots were then recorded with the sUAS motors operating



Methodology

Gunshot Waveform 9mm



Figure: 9mm Time Domain





Methodology

Dual Channel 9mm



Figure: Comparing 2 CH 9mm



Methodology

Propeller Noise



Figure: All Channels of Props

- 🗄 Channels 1 through 8
 - Varying DC bias between channels
 - $lpha pprox 0.02 \ V \ P2P$
- Recorded at 50 % throttle



Propeller Noise Filtering



Figure: Frequency Plot of Prop Noise



Kory Olney

Figure: Filtered Sequence FFT



Figure: Lower Band FFT

450

500 550 600

350 400

0-

100 150 200 250 300 Frequency (Hz)

50





Figure: Frequency Response of Bandstop Filter

- Finite impulse response (FIR)
- Cutoff from 500Hz to 1.9kHz
- Linear phase response
- Maximum 511 taps



System Weight

Component	Weight(g)	
sUAV	1520	
Battery	416	
Mic Array	200	
My PCB	45	
ADC	36	
myRIO	235	
Housing	201	
Module:	717	
Total:	2653	





Methodolog

Impulse Detection Reliability

- Currently cross correlating each new frame against a template wavelet
- Normalized, windowed, and filtered
- A trigger for an impulse detection is set to true if the max value of the cross correlation exceeds a user defined threshold
- False negatives and false positives are a significant concern for this system



Estimation Error

- Initial tests show that the error for elevation and azimuth is approximately +/- 7 %
- Recently simulated with clapping and snapping
- Future experiment soon to study the localization error
- Demonstration...



- Successfully demonstrated that a lightweight acoustic source localization module can function in real time onboard a sUAV while estimating both elevation and azimuth without motors operating
- GCC-PHAT method is sufficient for TDOA estimates in modestly noisy environments
- Noise characteristics of the current sUAS significantly degrade signal quality
- Higher quality mics are necessary for long range estimates
- Low cost solution to serve a variety of potential engineering challenges
- Driver for ADS1278 has potential for a variety of applications
- Many improvements can be made



- Perform a thorough evaluation of the system performance under varying conditions to determine the maximum effective range for accurate DOA estimation
- Improve the digital signal processing techniques to be more robust and better tuned for specific applications
- Acoustic classification i.e. caliber recognition
- Incorporate a camera and machine vision techniques to attempt at precisely identifying the source of an acoustic event
- Develop a single PCB that is smaller, lighter, and performs all of the necessary computations internally
- Create a module that is designed specifically to comply with a COTS sUAS



Dr. Robert H. Bishop for providing me the opportunity to undertake this research and for finding funding for the assistantship. Dr. Jonathan Gaines for providing guidance throughout this process. Michael Guinn for helping me obtain the position to make this all happen. SOFWERX for providing the financial support and lab space. Pasco County Sheriff's Office for allowing me to use their outdoor range for testing.

I would also like to thank my family and friends that have supported me throughout my entire graduate research experience.

